## Computing supersingular isogenies on Kummer surfaces



Craig Costello

### Microsoft® Research





ASIACRYPT December 6, 2018 Brisbane, Australia





### Alice $2^e$ -isogenies, Bob $3^f$ -isogenies





# In a nutshell: $J_C(\mathbb{F}_p)$



## In a nutshell:





### Why go hyperelliptic?



 $#E(\mathbb{F}_q) \approx #C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ 



G = E|G| = #E

 $G \approx C \times C$  $|G| = (\#C)^2$ 

### Why go Kummer?

### $J(\mathbb{F}_p)$ 72 equations in $\mathbb{P}^{15}$

# $K(\mathbb{F}_p) = J(\mathbb{F}_p) / \langle \pm 1 \rangle$ 1 equation in $\mathbb{P}^3$

- Genus 2 analogue of elliptic curve x-line
- Extremely efficient arithmetic

### ... a few of my favourite things...

### WEIL RESTRICTION OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE OVER A QUADRATIC EXTENSION

#### JASPER SCHOLTEN

ABSTRACT. Let K be a finite field of characteristic not equal to 2, and L a quadratic extension of K. For a large class of elliptic curves E defined over L we construct hyperelliptic curves over K of genus 2 whose jacobian is isogenous to the Weil restriction  $\operatorname{Res}_{K}^{L}(E)$ .

#### Hyper-and-elliptic-curve cryptography

Daniel J. Bernstein and Tanja Lange

At this point one can and should object that [48, Lemma 2.1] merely guarantees the existence of an isogeny from W to J; it does not guarantee the existence of an efficient isogeny from W to J.

The main challenge addressed in this section is to show that W and J are efficiently isogenous.

#### Fast genus 2 arithmetic based on Theta functions

P. Gaudry

**Remark 3.5.** The pseudo-group law that we just described is somewhat surprising, because it heavily relies on a (2,2)-isogenous abelian variety for the computation: for the doubling, the point is pushed through isogenies back and forth, thus obtaining a multiplication by 2 map.

TOWARDS QUANTUM-RESISTANT CRYPTOSYSTEMS FROM SUPERSINGULAR ELLIPTIC CURVE ISOGENIES

LUCA DE FEO, DAVID JAO, AND JÉRÔME PLÛT

Also observe that since P and -P generate the same subgroup, isogenies can be defined and evaluated correctly on the Kummer line.

It is not immediately evident how to put F in Montgomery form without computing square roots. If  $P_8$  is a point satisfying  $[2]P_8 = P_4$ , then  $\phi(P_8) = (2\sqrt{2+A}, \ldots)$ , and F can be put in the form qDSA: Small and Secure Digital Signatures with Curve-based Diffie–Hellman Key Pairs

Joost Renes<sup>1 $\star$ </sup> and Benjamin Smith<sup>2</sup>



### From elliptic to hyperelliptic

Consider

$$E/K: y^2 = x^3 + 1$$
  $C/K: y^2 = x^6 + 1$ 

Obvious map

$$\omega: C(K) \to E(K)$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y)$$

- 1: But what about  $\omega^{-1} : E(K) \to C(?)$ ...
- 2: Points on *E* are group elements, points on *C* are not...
- 3: Actually want map  $E \rightarrow J_C$ , but dim(E) = 1 while dim $(J_C) = 2...$
- 4: Want general  $\omega$ ,  $\omega^{-1}$  between  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$  to  $y^2 = x^6 + Ax^4 + x^2$ ???

**Proposition 1** 

 $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(i)$  with  $i^2 + 1 = 0$  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ :  $y^2 = x(x-\alpha)(x-1/\alpha)$  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i$  with  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p$  $C/\mathbb{F}_p$ :  $y^2 = (x^2 + mx - 1)(x^2 - mx - 1)(x^2 - mnx - 1)$  $m = \frac{2\alpha_0}{\alpha_1}$ ,  $n = \frac{(\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2 - 1)}{(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1^2 + 1)}$  both in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ Then  $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}/\mathbb{F}_p}(E)$  is (2,2)-isogenous to  $J_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  $\ker(\eta) \cong \ker(\hat{\eta}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  $\begin{array}{c} & \eta \\ & & \\$ 

 $\eta \circ \hat{\eta} = [2]$ 

Or, pictorially,

# **Unpacking Proposition 1**

- Weil restriction turns 1 equation over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  into two equations over  $\mathbb{F}_p$
- Simple linear transform of  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ :  $y^2 = f(x) = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$  to  $\tilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ :  $y^2 = g(x)$  such that  $C/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ :  $y^2 = g(x^2)$  is non-singular
- Pullback  $\omega^*$  of  $\omega : (x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y)$  gives 2 points in  $C(\mathbb{F}_{p^4})$ , but composition with Abel-Jacobi map bring these to  $J_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$
- Need to go from  $J_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$  to  $J_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ; cue good old Trace map,

$$\tau: P \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}/\mathbb{F}_p)}^n \sigma(P)$$



 $P \mapsto (\tau \circ \rho \circ \psi)(P)$  $\eta: \operatorname{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}/\mathbb{F}_p}(E) \to J_C(\mathbb{F}_p),$ 









- Fifteen (2,2)-kernels in  $J_C(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Number of ways to split *C*'s sextic into three quadratic factors.
- Lemma 2: identifies  $0 \leftrightarrow (0,0)$  and  $\{\Upsilon, \widetilde{\Upsilon}\} \leftrightarrow \{(\alpha, 0), (1/\alpha, 0)\}$

### Richelot isogenies in genus 2

• Elliptic curve isogenies are easy/explicit/fast, thanks to Vélu. But beyond elliptic curves, far from true!

 $\xi_{\Upsilon}$ 

- (2,2)-isogenies in genus 2 are exception, thanks to work beginning with Richelot in 1836
- Lessons learned from elliptic case:

(1) easiest to derive explicitly when the kernel is O, i.e. the kernel we don't want! (2) when kernel is  $\Upsilon$ , precompose with isomorphism  $\xi_{\Upsilon} : J_C \to J_C$ ,  $\Upsilon \mapsto O'$ (3)  $\xi_{\Upsilon}$  either requires a square root, or torsion "from above" (4) who cares about the full Jacobian group, let's move the Kummer variety





### Supersingular Kummer surfaces

$$K_{F,G,H}^{\text{Sqr}}: F \cdot X_{1}X_{2}X_{3}X_{4} = \left(X_{1}^{2} + X_{2}^{2} + X_{3}^{2} + X_{4}^{2} - G(X_{1} + X_{2})(X_{3} + X_{4}) - H(X_{1}X_{2} + X_{3}X_{4})\right)^{2}$$
  
Surface constants  $F, G, H \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$   
Points  $(X_{1}: X_{2}: X_{3}: X_{4}) \in \mathbb{P}^{3}(\mathbb{F}_{p})$   
Theta constants  $(\mu_{1}: \mu_{2}: 1: 1) \sim (\lambda \mu_{1}: \lambda \mu_{2}: \lambda: \lambda)$   
Arithmetic constants  $(\pi_{1}: \pi_{2}: \pi_{3}: \pi_{4})$ ; functions of  $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$   
S:  $(\ell_{1}: \ell_{2}: \ell_{3}: \ell_{4}) \mapsto (\ell_{1}^{2}: \ell_{2}^{2}: \ell_{3}^{2}: \ell_{4}^{2})$   
 $k^{\text{Can}}$   
 $\mathcal{K}^{\text{Can}}$   
 $\mathcal{K}^{\text{Sqr}}$ 

S: 
$$(\ell_1: \ell_2: \ell_3: \ell_4) \mapsto (\ell_1^2: \ell_2^2: \ell_3^2: \ell_4^2)$$

 $(\ell_1: \ell_2: \ell_3: \ell_4) \mapsto (\pi_1 \ell_1: \pi_2 \ell_2: \pi_3 \ell_3: \pi_4 \ell_4)$ *C*:

$$H: \qquad (\ell_1:\ell_2:\ell_3:\ell_4) \mapsto (\ell_1+\ell_2+\ell_3+\ell_4: \quad \ell_1+\ell_2-\ell_3-\ell_4: \quad \ell_1-\ell_2+\ell_3-\ell_4: \quad \ell_1-\ell_2-\ell_3+\ell_4)$$

Doubling  $[2]_{K^{Sqr}}: P \mapsto (S \circ \hat{C} \circ H \circ S \circ C \circ H)(P)$ 

2-isogeny (splitting [2]) 
$$\varphi_0: P \mapsto (S \circ C \circ H)(P)$$



### Kummer isogenies for non-trivial kernels

- *P* point of order 2 on *K* corresponding to  $G \in {\Upsilon, \widetilde{\Upsilon}}$ . Write  $H(P) = (P'_1: P'_2: P'_3: P'_4)$
- Q point of order 4 on K such that [2]Q = P. Write  $H(Q) = (Q'_1; Q'_2; Q'_3; Q'_4)$
- Define  $C_{Q,P}$ :  $(X_1: X_2: X_3: X_4) \mapsto (\pi'_1 X_1: \pi'_2 X_2: \pi'_3 X_3: \pi'_4 X_4)$ where  $(\pi_1: \pi_2: \pi_3: \pi_4) = (P'_2 Q'_4: P'_1 Q'_4: P'_2 Q'_1: P'_2 Q'_1)$
- Then  $\varphi_P: K^{Sqr} \to K^{Sqr}/G$ ,  $P \mapsto (S \circ H \circ C_{Q,P} \circ H)(P)$  4M+4S+16A



# Implications

Operation	chained 2-isogenies on Montgomery curves over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ ( <b>previous work</b> )				chained $(2, 2)$ -isogenies on Kummer surfaces over $\mathbb{F}_p$ ( <b>this work</b> )														
											$\mathbf{M}$	$\mathbf{S}$	Α	$\approx$ cycles	m	S	a	$\approx$ cycles	
																		$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{m}$	$\mathbf{s} = 0.8\mathbf{m}$
doubling	4	2	4	5862	8	8	16	6272	5714										
2-isog. curve	-	2	1	2088	19	4	28	9231	8952										
2-isog. point	4	0	4	4336	4	4	16	3480	3200										

- Theta constants map to theta constants: no special map needed to find image surface
- Comparison in Table/paper very conservative. Kummer will win in aggressive impl.:
  - Recall Kummer over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{127}-1}$  almost as fast as FourQ over  $\mathbb{F}_{(2^{127}-1)^2}$  (scalars 4 x larger)
  - Recall that "doubling" and "2-isog. point" are bottlenecks in optimal tree strategy
  - Pushing points through  $2^{\ell}$  for small  $\ell$  likely to be better on Kummer, don't need to compute all intermediate surface constants

### Related future work

- To use this right now, Alice need to map back-and-forth using  $\eta$  and  $\hat{\eta}$ . Certainly not a deal-breaker! Thus, this is a call for skilled implementers!
- But ideally we want Bob to be able to use the Kummer, too! Then uncompressed SIDH/SIKE can be defined as Kummer everywhere! Thus, this is a call for fast (3, 3)-isogenies on fast Kummers!
- Going further, general isogenies in Montgomery elliptic case have a nice explicit form (see [C-Hisil, AsiaCrypt'17] and [Renes, PQCrypto'18]). Thus, this is a call for fast (l, l)-isogenies on fast Kummers!
- Gut feeling is that there's a better way to write down supersingular Kummers, and their arithmetic. Thus, this is a call for smart geometers!

### Cheers!



### https://eprint.iacr.org/2018/850.pdf

https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=57309